

State of the Roaring Fork Watershed Report

November 2008

Principal Authors: Sharon Clarke, Kristine Crandall,
John Emerick, Mark Fuller, John Katzenberger,
Delia Malone, Michelle Masone, Albert Slap,
Judith Thomas

Contributors: Sami Dinar, Moss Driscoll, Mark Lacy,
Warren Rider, Rose Ann Sullivan

Sponsor: Ruedi Water and Power Authority
Lead Consultant: Roaring Fork Conservancy

Table of Contents

Preface	1
1. Introduction	2
1.1 Environmental Setting	3
1.1.1 Geology	5
1.1.2 Climate	10
1.1.3 Biological Communities	11
1.2 Socio-economic Setting	12
1.2.1 Population Growth	13
1.2.2 Recreation and Tourism	15
1.2.3 Ecosystem Services	19
1.3 Land Use	20
1.3.1 Ownership and Land Use	20
1.3.2 Land Use Regulations	26
2. Regional Water Management	1
2.1 Water Quantity – Existing Influences	2
2.1.1 Water Law	2
Augmentation Plans	3
Instream Flows	4
Recreational In-channel Diversions	5
Cameo Call	5
Shoshone Hydroelectric Plant and Call	6
2.1.2. Water Management Agreements, Policies, and Agencies	7
Federal Laws, Regulations, and Policies	7
Colorado River Compact	9
Statewide Water Supply Initiative/ Colorado Water for the 21st Century Act	11
Colorado River Water Conservation District	13
Green Mountain Reservoir – Historic Users Pool	14
2.1.3 Structural Projects	14
Fryingpan-Arkansas Project	14
Ruedi Reservoir	18
Independence Pass Transmountain Diversion System	20
Fry-Ark Project/Twin Lakes Exchange	21
The Busk-Ivanhoe Project	23
2.2 Water Quantity - Future Considerations	23
2.2.1 Water Law	23
Conditional Water Rights	23

2.2.2 Water Management Agreements and Policies	25
10,825 Alternatives for the Endangered Fish Recovery Program	25
Colorado River Compact – An Uncertain Future	26
2.2.3 Structural Projects under Consideration	26
Preferred Storage Options Plan (PSOP)	26
Colorado River Return/Big Straw.....	28
Ruedi Pumpback.....	29
2.2.4 Future Water Demands	29
Oil Shale Development.....	29
Ruedi Uncontracted Water.....	30
3. Water Topic Overview	1
3.1 Water Quantity.....	1
3.1.1 Data Sources, Assessments, and Tools	3
Stream Gages	3
Water Rights and Diversions	3
Instream Flow and Natural Lake Level Data.....	3
Climate Stations.....	4
Flood Potential.....	4
The Upper Colorado River Basin Water Resource Planning Model	4
Water Withdrawal Assessments	5
Non-Consumptive Use Information.....	5
3.1.2 Data and Knowledge Gaps.....	10
Spatial and Temporal Data Gaps	11
Understanding Ecosystem Processes	12
3.1.3 Consumptive Uses	12
Municipal and Industrial Water Use Data	13
Agricultural Use Data	16
3.1.4 Non-Consumptive Uses	16
Environmental Needs.....	17
Recreational Flows.....	18
Hydropower Generation.....	18
3.1.5 Factors that Affect Water Quantity.....	20
3.1.6 Flood Control Issues	22
3.1.7 Water Conservation and Drought	25
3.2 Water Quality.....	1
3.2.1 Data Sources and Assessments	2
Previous work	4
3.2.2 Data and Knowledge Gaps.....	5
3.2.3 Water Quality Constituents: Significance and Standards	7
Field Parameters.....	7
Major Ions.....	8

Nutrients.....	9
Trace Elements.....	10
Microorganism Data	10
Suspended Solids	11
3.2.4 Influences on Water Quality	11
3.2.5 Water Quality Regulations.....	11
Federal.....	11
State.....	13
3.3 Riparian Areas	1
3.3.1 Data Sources and Assessments	2
Stream Health Initiative	2
Bird Data.....	5
Natural Heritage Areas and Species of Concern.....	6
Riparian Vegetation and Species Distribution Mapping	7
3.3.2 Data and Knowledge Gaps.....	9
3.3.3 Function of Riparian Areas.....	10
Protecting Water Quality	12
Maintaining Sustainable Stream Flows	13
Maintaining Natural Channel Shape.....	13
Providing High Quality Wildlife Habitat.....	14
Human Uses	15
3.3.4 Factors that Affect Riparian Areas	15
Development.....	15
Roads.....	16
Grazing and Agriculture	18
Recreation Activities.....	19
Mining.....	20
Beaver Eradication.....	21
3.3.5 Biological Indicators of Riparian Habitat Condition.....	21
Vegetation Composition	21
Wildlife Species	22
3.3.6 Riparian Regulations.....	24
3.4 Instream Areas	1
3.4.1 Data Sources, Assessments, and Tools	4
Fish and Aquatic Habitat Surveys.....	4
Macroinvertebrate Surveys	11
Amphibian Surveys.....	13
Natural Heritage Areas and Species of Concern.....	14
Channel Instability	15
3.4.2 Data and Knowledge Gaps.....	15
Data Gaps.....	15
3.4.3 Functions of Instream Areas	16

Stream Transport Functions.....	16
Instream Habitat Functions.....	17
Provision of Human Functions.....	18
3.4.4 Factors that Affect Aquatic Wildlife and Instream Areas.....	18
Direct Affects on Aquatic Species.....	18
Direct Modifications of Stream Channels.....	20
Indirect Alteration.....	22
3.4.5 Biological Indicators of Aquatic Habitat Conditions.....	23
Biological Indicators.....	23
Keystone Species.....	25
3.4.6 Instream Regulations.....	26
3.4.7 Future Considerations.....	26
New Zealand mud snails.....	26
<i>Didymosphenia geminata</i> (didymo).....	27
3.5 Climate Change.....	1
3.5.1 Climate Observations and Projections.....	3
Warming in the West.....	3
Changes in Runoff.....	4
Climate Variability: Past and Projected.....	6
Upper Roaring Fork Watershed Snowmelt and Runoff.....	7
3.5.2 Impacts to Ecosystems.....	8
Watershed Interactions.....	8
Terrestrial Areas.....	9
Snow Cover and Soils.....	9
Shifts in Biotic Communities and Migrations.....	10
Vulnerable Species.....	10
Invasive Species.....	11
Forests.....	12
Instream Areas.....	14
3.5.3 Socioeconomic Impacts.....	16
The Ski Industry and Snowmaking.....	16
Instream Recreation.....	17
Flood Risk.....	17
Municipal Supply.....	17
Agriculture.....	17
Tourism.....	17
Water Rights and Regional Demand.....	18
3.5.4 Watershed Management.....	19
3.5.5 Data and Knowledge Gaps.....	21
4. Watershed Resource Discussion by Sub-watershed.....	1
4.1 Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed.....	1

4.1.1 Environmental Setting	1
Topography and Geology	2
Weather/Climate	4
Biological Communities	5
4.1.2 Human Influences	7
Land Ownership and Use	7
Mining	10
Recreation Activities	11
4.1.3 Resource Information	12
Water Quantity	12
Water Quality	21
Riparian and Instream Areas	24
4.1.4 Important Issues	38
Key Findings	38
Data Gaps	39
Relevant Local Initiatives, Plans, and Studies	40
4.2 Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed	1
4.2.1 Environmental Setting	1
Topography and Geology	1
Weather/Climate	2
Biological Communities	2
4.2.2 Human Influences	3
Land Ownership and Use	3
Mining	6
Recreation Activities	6
4.2.3 Resource Information	8
Water Quantity	8
Water Quality	11
Riparian and Instream Areas	14
4.2.4 Important Issues	25
Key Findings	26
Data Gaps	27
Relevant Local Initiatives, Plans, and Studies	28
4.3 Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed	1
4.3.1 Environmental Setting	1
Topography and Geology	1
Weather/Climate	2
Biological Communities	2
4.3.2 Human Influences	3
Land Ownership and Use	3
Mining	8
Recreation Activities	8

4.3.3 Resource Information.....	9
Water Quantity.....	9
Water Quality.....	14
Riparian and Instream Areas.....	17
4.3.4 Important Issues.....	23
Key Findings.....	23
Data Gaps.....	24
Relevant Local Initiatives, Plans, and Studies.....	25
4.4 Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed.....	1
4.4.1 Environmental Setting.....	1
Topography and Geology.....	1
Weather/Climate.....	2
Biological Communities.....	2
4.4.2 Human Influences.....	3
Land Ownership and Use.....	3
Mining.....	6
Recreation Activities.....	7
4.4.3 Resource Information.....	8
Water Quantity.....	8
Water Quality.....	13
Riparian and Instream Areas.....	16
4.4.4 Important Issues.....	28
Key Findings.....	28
Data Gaps.....	29
Relevant Local Initiatives, Plans, and Studies.....	30
4.5 Maroon/Castle Creek Sub-watershed.....	1
4.5.1 Environmental Setting.....	1
Topography and Geology.....	1
Weather/Climate.....	2
Biological Communities.....	2
4.5.2 Human Influences.....	4
Land Ownership and Use.....	4
Mining.....	7
Recreation Activities.....	7
4.5.3 Resource Information.....	8
Water Quantity.....	8
Water Quality.....	13
Riparian and Instream Areas.....	15
4.5.4 Important Issues.....	25
Key Findings.....	26
Data Gaps.....	27
Relevant Local Initiatives, Plans, and Studies.....	28

4.6 Snowmass/Capitol Creek Sub-watershed	1
4.6.1 Environmental Setting	1
Topography and Geology	1
Weather/Climate	2
Biological Communities	2
4.6.2 Human Influences	4
Land Ownership and Use	4
Mining	10
Recreation Activities	10
4.6.3 Resource Information	11
Water Quantity	11
Water Quality	18
Riparian and Instream Areas	20
4.6.4 Important Issues	29
Key Findings	29
Data Gaps	30
Relevant Local Initiatives, Plans, and Studies	31
4.7 Fryingpan River Sub-watershed	1
4.7.1 Environmental Setting	1
Topography and Geology	1
Weather/Climate	3
Biological Communities	4
4.7.2 Human Influences	6
Land Ownership and Use	6
Mining	9
Recreation Activities	9
4.7.3 Resource Information	13
Water Quantity	14
Water Quality	20
Riparian and Instream Areas	22
4.7.4 Important Issues	32
Key Findings	32
Data Gaps	33
Relevant Local Initiatives, Plans, and Studies	34
4.8 Crystal River Sub-watershed	1
4.8.1 Environmental Setting	1
Topography and Geology	1
Weather/Climate	3
Biological Communities	4
4.8.2 Human Influences	6
Land Ownership and Use	6
Mining	11

Recreation Activities.....	12
4.8.3 Resource Information.....	13
Water Quantity.....	14
Water Quality.....	19
Riparian and Instream Areas.....	23
4.8.4 Important Issues.....	36
Key Findings.....	36
Data Gaps.....	37
Relevant Local Initiatives, Plans, and Studies.....	38
4.9 Cattle Creek Sub-watershed.....	1
4.9.1 Environmental Setting.....	1
Topography and Geology.....	1
Weather/Climate.....	2
Biological Communities.....	2
4.9.2 Human Influences.....	3
Land Ownership and Use.....	3
Mining.....	5
Recreation Activities.....	6
4.9.3 Resource Information.....	6
Water Quantity.....	7
Water Quality.....	10
Riparian and Instream.....	12
Instream Habitat and Wildlife.....	16
4.9.4 Important Issues.....	19
Key Findings.....	20
Data Gaps.....	20
5.0 Next Steps.....	1
6.0 Appendix List.....	1
7.0 Acronyms, abbreviations, and glossary.....	1
Glossary.....	3
8.0 References.....	1

Tables

Chapter 1 Introduction

Table 1.1. Comparison of average annual climate information for the Roaring Fork Watershed.	11
Table 1.2. Results about outdoor recreation from Pitkin County Community Survey.	16
Table 1.3. Estimated economic impacts of fishing in the Roaring Fork Watershed.	16
Table 1.4. Golf courses in the Roaring Fork Watershed.	26
Table 1.5. Ski areas and snowmaking activities in the watershed.	27
Table 1.6. County land use regulations most applicable to streams and riparian areas.	28

Chapter 2 Regional Water Management

Table 2.1. Fry-ark minimum by-pass flows at Thomasville Gage.	15
Table 2.2. Ruedi Reservoir contracts as of December 2007.	19
Table 2.3. Recommended average flows in the Roaring Fork River above its confluence with Difficult Creek.	21
Table 2.4. Existing conditional direct-flow rights greater than 10 cfs in the Roaring Fork Watershed.	25
Table 2.5. Existing conditional storage rights greater than 1,000 acre-feet in the Roaring Fork Watershed.	25

Chapter 3

Section 3.1 Water Quantity

Table 3.1.1. Average evaporative losses measured at the Meredith Climate Station, elevation 7,700 feet (1963-2005).	10
Table 3.1.2. Existing and proposed RICD water rights in the Roaring Fork Watershed.	16
Table 3.1.3. Existing and proposed water rights for hydropower generation.	17
Table 3.1.4. Structural projects identified by CRB water users as effective means to mitigate effects of drought.	22
Table 3.1.5. Non-structural projects identified by CRB water users for drought mitigation.	23

Section 3.2 Water Quality

Table 3.2.1. Location of drinking water consumer confidence reports for various municipalities in the Roaring Fork Watershed.	1
Table 3.2.2. All data information for water quality data compiled in the Roaring Fork Watershed and stored in the web-accessible common data repository.	2

Section 3.3 Riparian Areas

Table 3.3.1. Roads within 150 of streams in each sub-watershed.	16
--	----

Section 3.4 Instream Areas

Table 3.4.1. General characteristics of Rosgen's stream types.	5
---	---

Chapter 4

Section 4.0 Overview

Table 4.1. Sub-watershed characteristics.	2
--	---

Section 4.1 Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed

Table 4.1.1. Mine sites in the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 9
 Table 4.1.2. Species stocked by the CDOW in streams and lakes of the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 11
 Table 4.1.3. Diversions in the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed greater than 10 cfs..... 17
 Table 4.1.4. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat in the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed’s surveyed reach..... 26
 Table 4.1.5. SHI Conservation Areas of Concern in the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed..... 29
 Table 4.1.6. Comparison of 2005 USFS macroinvertebrate data from above and below IPTDS Tunnel Number 2 diversion, on the Roaring Fork River. 36

Section 4.2 Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed

Table 4.2.1. Mine sites in the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 5
 Table 4.2.2. Species stocked by the CDOW in streams and lakes of the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 7
 Table 4.2.3. Diversion in the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed greater than 10 cfs..... 9
 Table 4.2.4. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat in the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed’s surveyed reach. 15
 Table 4.2.5. SHI Conservation Areas of Concern in the Roaring Fork segment of the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 19
 Table 4.2.6. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat on Brush Creek in the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed 21
 Table 4.2.7. SHI Conservation Area of Concern in the Brush Creek segment of the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 23

Section 4.3 Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed

Table 4.3.1. Mine sites in the Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 7
 Table 4.3.2. Species stocked by the CDOW in streams and lakes of the Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 8
 Table 4.3.3. Diversions in the Lower Middle Roaring Fork River Sub-watershed greater than 10 cfs..... 10
 Table 4.3.4. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat in the Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed’s surveyed reach..... 18
 Table 4.3.5. SHI Conservation Areas of Concern in the Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed..... 21

Section 4.4 Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed

Table 4.4.1. Mine sites in the Lower Roaring Fork River Sub-watershed..... 6
 Table 4.4.2. Species stocked by the CDOW in streams of the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 7
 Table 4.4.3. Diversions in the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed greater than 10 cfs. 10
 Table 4.4.4. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat in the Roaring Fork segment of the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 17
 Table 4.4.5. SHI Conservation Areas of Concern in the Roaring Fork segment of the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 19
 Table 4.4.6. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat on Fourmile Creek in the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed..... 23
 Table 4.4.7. SHI Conservation Areas of Concern on Fourmile Creek in the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed..... 24

Section 4.5 Maroon/Castle Creek Sub-watershed

Table 4.5.1. Mine sites in the Maroon/Castle Creek Sub-watershed..... 7
 Table 4.5.2. Species stocked by the CDOW in streams and lakes of the Maroon/Castle Creek
 Sub-watershed..... 8
 Table 4.5.3. Diversions in the Maroon/Castle Creek Sub-watershed greater than 10 cfs..... 11
 Table 4.5.4. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat
 within the Maroon Creek drainage..... 18
 Table 4.5.5. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat
 within the Castle Creek drainage. 22

Section 4.6 Snowmass/Capitol Creek Sub-watershed

Table 4.6.1. Species stocked by the CDOW in streams and lakes of the Snowmass/Capitol Creek
 Sub-watershed..... 9
 Table 4.6.2. Diversion in the Snowmass/Capitol Creek Sub-watershed greater than 10 cfs. 13
 Table 4.6.3. Snowmass Creek flow limitations per agreements. 16
 Table 4.6.4. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat
 sustainability in the Snowmass Creek drainage..... 23

Section 4.7 Fryingpan River Sub-watershed

Table 4.7.1. Ruedi Reservoir 2001 summer season visitor use. 11
 Table 4.7.2. Species stocked by the CDOW in streams and lakes of the Fryingpan River
 Sub-watershed..... 12
 Table 4.7.3. Diversions in the Fryingpan River Sub-watershed greater than 10 cfs..... 19
 Table 4.7.4. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat
 within the lower Fryingpan River drainage. 26
 Table 4.7.5. SHI Conservation Areas of Concern in the Fryingpan River Sub-watershed..... 28

Section 4.8 Crystal River Sub-watershed

Table 4.8.1. Carbondale municipal water sources. 9
 Table 4.8.2. Mine sites in the Crystal River Sub-watershed..... 11
 Table 4.8.3. Species stocked by the CDOW in streams and lakes of the Crystal River
 Sub-watershed..... 11
 Table 4.8.4. Diversions in the Crystal River Sub-watershed greater than 10 cfs..... 15
 Table 4.8.5. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat
 in the surveyed part of the Crystal River drainage..... 26

Section 4.9 Cattle Creek Sub-watershed

Table 4.9.1. Mine sites in the Cattle Creek Sub-watershed. 5
 Table 4.9.2. Species stocked by the CDOW in streams of the Cattle Creek Sub-watershed..... 5
 Table 4.9.3. Diversions in the Cattle Creek Sub-watershed greater than 10 cfs..... 9
 Table 4.9.4. Summary of land development impacts and threats to riparian and instream habitat
 in the Cattle Creek drainage..... 15
 Table 4.9.5. SHI Conservation Areas of Concern in the Cattle Creek Sub-watershed..... 16

Figures

Chapter 1 Introduction

Figure 1.1. Overview map of the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	4
Figure 1.2. Diagram of the water cycle.....	5
Figure 1.3. Surface geology of the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	6
Figure 1.4. Steep slopes in the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	9
Figure 1.5. Precipitation map of the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	10
Figure 1.6. Land cover types in the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	12
Figure 1.7. County population trends and future estimates.....	13
Figure 1.8. Population estimates for the watershed’s various municipalities.....	14
Figure 1.9. Percentage of houses classified as owned by second homeowners.....	15
Figure 1.10. Rafters enjoying the Roaring Fork River.....	18
Figure 1.11. Rafting and kayaking reaches in the watershed.....	18
Figure 1.12. Comparison of commercial river use and June and July mean stream flows at the Roaring Fork at Glenwood Springs and Roaring Fork near Aspen gages.....	19
Figure 1.13. The watershed’s land uses and covers.....	21
Figure 1.14. Land ownership within the watershed.....	22
Figure 1.15. Land protected by conservation easements.....	23
Figure 1.16. Roaring Fork Watershed irrigated acreage.....	25

Chapter 2 Regional Water Management

Figure 2.1. Areas covered by the two conservancy districts in the watershed.....	4
Figure 2.2. Upper Colorado River Basin water management influences on the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	6
Figure 2.3. Colorado River Basin map showing the Colorado River Compact designated “Upper Basin” and “Lower Basin”.....	10
Figure 2.4. Estimated virgin flows on the Colorado River at Lee Ferry from 1896-2004.....	11
Figure 2.5. Colorado River Water Conservation District jurisdiction.....	13
Figure 2.6. The Fry-Ark Project’s diversion system within the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	17
Figure 2.7. The Fry-Ark Project’s transmountain diversion system.....	17
Figure 2.8. Ruedi Reservoir storage designations and uses.....	18
Figure 2.9. Independence Pass Transmountain Diversion System in the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	20

Chapter 3

Section 3.1 Water Quantity

Figure 3.1.1 Lower Crystal River, September 2, 2004.....	1
Figure 3.1.2. Recommended biological and recreational flows within a theoretical, modified snowmelt system.....	5
Figure 3.1.3. Example histograms showing monthly percent flow alteration.....	6
Figure 3.1.4. Magnitude of monthly flow alteration; developed flow compared to pre-developed flow conditions for streams throughout the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	7
Figure 3.1.5. Changes in annual rates of rising and falling stream flows and fluctuations between increases and decreases in flows (number of reversals), for developed flows compared to pre-developed flow conditions, and for streams throughout the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	7
Figure 3.1.6. The change in magnitude and duration of annual minimum and maximum flow events for developed flows compared to pre-developed flow conditions for streams throughout the Roaring Fork Watershed.....	8

Figure 3.1.7 Water uses and transmountain diversions in the Roaring Fork Watershed. 11
 Figure 3.1.8. Comparison of public- and self-supplied water withdrawals. 12
 Figure 3.1.9. Trends in total municipal water supply withdrawals from 1980-2000 by county. 12
 Figure 3.1.10. Trends in self-supplied domestic water supply withdrawals from 1980-2000 by county..... 13
 Figure 3.1.11. Amount of municipal water supply from surface water and groundwater. 13
 Figure 3.1.12. Peak flows recorded at the Roaring Fork River at Glenwood Springs stream gage..... 20
 Figure 3.1.13. Snowpack in the Roaring Fork Watershed preceding the high peak flow years of 1983 and 1995..... 20
 Figure 3.1.14. Downtown Basalt during Spring, 1995 Flood..... 21

Section 3.2 Water Quality

Figure 3.2.1. Cattle Creek Stream Team February 17, 2007 4

Section 3.3 Riparian Areas

Figure 3.3.1. Riparian assessment parameters by sub-watershed. 3
 Figure 3.3.2. CNHP Potential Conservation Areas (PCA) and SHI Conservation Areas of Concern (CAC) in the Roaring Fork Watershed. 4
 Figure 3.3.3. Osprey and Northern river otter species distribution in the Roaring Fork Watershed. 7
 Figure 3.3.4. Great blue heron nesting and foraging areas in the Roaring Fork Watershed. 8
 Figure 3.3.5. Bald eagle activities and ranges in the Roaring Fork Watershed. 9
 Figure 3.3.6. High quality riparian habitat on Castle Creek. 11
 Figure 3.3.7. Riparian areas supports stream flow replenishment – here, groundwater discharges back into the Roaring Fork River..... 12
 Figure 3.3.8. On Castle Creek, riparian vegetation supports habitat sustainability as it filters pollutants, stabilizes banks, and prevents erosion..... 12
 Figure 3.3.9. Riparian areas on Maroon Creek soak up out-of-bank flows, replenishing soil moisture and nutrients. 13
 Figure 3.3.10. Dense wetland vegetation on the Crystal River provides excellent nest habitat. 14
 Figure 3.3.11. Urbanization of riparian habitat on the Roaring Fork River..... 15
 Figure 3.3.12. Roads within 150 feet of streams in the Roaring Fork Watershed. 16
 Figure 3.3.13. The development of Highway 82 has caused channelization of the Roaring Fork River. 17
 Figure 3.3.14. Cattle in the riparian zone on Snowmass Creek. 18
 Figure 3.3.15. Elimination of understory vegetation at a campground on the Crystal River..... 19
 Figure 3.3.16. Habitat conversion at a golf course development on the Roaring Fork River..... 19
 Figure 3.3.17. A mine dump adjacent to Castle Creek. 20
 Figure 3.3.18. Beaver dam on Castle Creek. 21
 Figure 3.3.19. Dense, native, high quality, stabilizing vegetation on the Fryingpan River (upper photo) and Castle Creek (lower photo)..... 22
 Figure 3.3.20. A juvenile Wilson’s warbler is a high priority species... 23

Section 3.4 Instream Areas

Figure 3.4.1. Stream order in the Roaring Fork Watershed. 2
 Figure 3.4.2. Example of an unconstrained channel and constrained channel at Filoha Meadows on the Crystal River. 3
 Figure 3.4.3. Instream assessment parameters by sub-watershed. 5
 Figure 3.4.4. Longitudinal, cross-sectional, and plan views of major stream types. 6

Figure 3.4.5. Boreal toad locations and range for the Roaring Fork Watershed..... 8
 Figure 3.4.6. Colorado River cutthroat trout locations and distribution in the Roaring Fork Watershed. 9
 Figure 3.4.7. Colorado River cutthroat trout..... 9
 Figure 3.4.8. USFS Management Indicator Species survey sites. 11
 Figure 3.4.9. 2006 macroinvertebrate sampling locations in the Roaring Fork Watershed..... 12
 Figure 3.4.10. Preliminary analysis of macroinvertebrate data. 13
 Figure 3.4.11. Boreal toad. 14
 Figure 3.4.12. Northern leopard frog..... 14
 Figure 3.4.13. Stream diversion on West Sopris Creek..... 20
 Figure 3.4.14. Sediment from Coal Creek entering the Crystal River at Redstone. 21
 Figure 3.4.15. EPT taxa: mayfly, stonefly and caddisfly..... 23
 Figure 3.4.16. Photo of a dipper. 24
 Figure 3.4.17. New Zealand mud snails..... 26

Section 3.5 Climate Change

Figure 3.5.1. Global map of temperature trends since 1970..... 3
 Figure 3.5.2. Percentage change in average annual runoff by 2041-2050, relative to 1900-1970, using the middle of the IPCC standard emissions scenarios (A1B)..... 4
 Figure 3.5.3. Upper Colorado River Basin flows reconstructed from tree ring data plotted as a percentage of the 1906-2004 mean of observed natural flows..... 5
 Figure 3.5.4. Projected runoff in the Roaring Fork River at the Woody Creek confluence for the year 2100 with low (B1), medium (A1B), and high (A1FI) IPCC emission scenarios. 7
 Figure 3.5.5. The complex interactions between human and natural systems in the Roaring Fork Watershed. 8
 Figure 3.5.6. Western U.S. forest fires and spring-summer temperature..... 13
 Figure 3.5.7. Forest wildfire and the timing of spring snowmelt..... 13

Chapter 4

Section 4.0 Overview

Figure 4.1. The nine sub-watersheds of the Roaring Fork Watershed..... 1
 Figure 4.2. Ecoregions of the Roaring Fork Watershed..... 2

Section 4.1 Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed

Figure 4.1.1. Beginnings of the Roaring Fork: Looking south from the snowmelt ponds that are the headwaters of the Roaring Fork River. 1
 Figure 4.1.2. 1990 Infrared aerial photograph of the historic meander patterns within the North Star Nature Preserve area. 2
 Figure 4.1.3. Rocky gorge in Lincoln Creek..... 3
 Figure 4.1.4. Highest and lowest recorded snowpack relative to average conditions (1986-2007)..... 4
 Figure 4.1.5. A wide diversity of ecosystems occurs in the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed due to varied topography and the east-west trending valley (North Star Nature Preserve). 6
 Figure 4.1.6. Ownership and protection status of the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 7
 Figure 4.1.7. Roads adjacent to streams in the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed..... 8
 Figure 4.1.8. Water features in the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed..... 12
 Figure 4.1.9. Comparison of modeled pre-developed flows to developed flows on Lincoln Creek. There are more extreme low flows and fewer high flow events under current conditions. 14
 Figure 4.1.10. Comparison of modeled pre-developed flows to developed flows on the upper

Roaring Fork River.....	15
Figure 4.1.11. Diversions and wells in the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed.....	16
Figure 4.1.12. CWCB instream flow right was not met for the Roaring Fork River in Aspen for most of the summer of 2002.....	18
Figure 4.1.13. Water-quality sites and wastewater treatment providers in the sub-watershed.....	21
Figure 4.1.14. Riparian and instream habitat quality in the Upper Roaring Fork Sub-watershed.....	24
Figure 4.1.15. Upland habitat adjacent to RF3-1 contributes to stream flows, water quality, and wildlife values.....	25
Figure 4.1.16. Trampling of vegetation as a result of recreation activities at the Lincoln Creek Campground (RF2-9) has eliminated herbaceous vegetation and resulted in bank erosion.....	26
Figure 4.1.17. When flows are diverted, dewatering affects stream flows and riparian habitat well below the Twin Lakes diversion tunnel.....	26
Figure 4.1.18. Historic agricultural development in reach RF3-11 resulted in severe downcutting and changes to riparian plant communities.....	27
Figure 4.1.19. In this location, riparian vegetation has been removed and replaced with a lawn. Resulting erosion has necessitated bank riprapping.....	27
Figure 4.1.20. Riparian vegetation at the James H. Smith open space property (RF3-10) stabilizes the stream channel and provides high quality riparian habitat.....	28
Figure 4.1.21. Backwaters and side channels at the North Star and adjacent James H. Smith open space properties provide high value wildlife habitat.....	31
Figure 4.1.22. Removal of native vegetation and bank riprapping has degraded riparian and stream habitat. Fish habitat has consequently been degraded.....	32
Figure 4.1.23. Upper photo: Lost Man Creek above the dam. Lower photo: Lost Man Creek below the dam.....	33
Figure 4.1.24. Large wood replacement project Lincoln Creek, 2004. Upper photo: Before large wood was placed. Lower photo: Wood being placed in stream.....	34
Figure 4.1.25. Boreal toad breeding pond construction in Lincoln Creek, September 2007.....	35
Figure 4.1.26. The Roaring Fork River directly above (upper photo) and below (lower photo) the IPTDS Tunnel Number 2 diversion.....	36

Section 4.2 Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed

Figure 4.2.1. Ownership and protection status for the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed.....	3
Figure 4.2.2. Roads near streams in the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed.....	4
Figure 4.2.3. Water features in the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed.....	7
Figure 4.2.4. Diversions and wells in the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed.....	8
Figure 4.2.5. Water-quality sites and wastewater treatment providers in the sub-watershed.....	11
Figure 4.2.6. Riparian and instream habitat quality in the Upper Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed.....	14
Figure 4.2.7. Understory riparian vegetation at this residence on reach RF3-18 has been removed, resulting in streambank erosion.....	15
Figure 4.2.8. Highway 82 construction has channelized the river and contributed to instability.....	16
Figure 4.2.9. Reach RF4-7: Removal of native understory shrubs and herbaceous plants have resulted in bank erosion (see upper photo). On the opposite bank, landowners have conserved native vegetation (lower photo).....	17
Figure 4.2.10. Riparian and stream habitat in reach RF4-7 is in functional condition and provides high wildlife value.....	18
Figure 4.2.11. Wildlife underpasses funnel eroding upland sediment into the river.....	20

Figure 4.2.12. Reach BR1-3: Riparian vegetation has been removed on the majority of the Snowmass Golf Course. 22

Figure 4.2.13. Reach BR1-1: At the headwaters of Brush Creek, dewatering and downcutting is severe (upper photo). In the lower photo, groundwater slope discharge sustains riparian vegetation. Further downstream in reach BR1-1, enough groundwater has discharged and accumulated in the channel to create sustainable flows. 24

Section 4.3 Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed

Figure 4.3.1. Ownership and protection status for the Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 3

Figure 4.3.2. Roads near streams in the Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 5

Figure 4.3.3. Water features in the Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 9

Figure 4.3.4. Diversions and wells in the Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 10

Figure 4.3.5. Water-quality sites and wastewater treatment providers in the sub-watershed. 14

Figure 4.3.6. Riparian and instream habitat quality for the Lower Middle Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 17

Figure 4.3.7. Removal and replacement of native riparian vegetation. 18

Figure 4.3.8. Riparian habitat at the USFS Tree Farm on the right bank of reach RF5-5 provides good wildlife potential. 19

Figure 4.3.9. Boardwalk construction at the USFS Tree Farm to protect wetland habitats. 20

Section 4.4 Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed

Figure 4.4.1. Ownership and protection status for the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 4

Figure 4.4.2. Roads near streams in the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 5

Figure 4.4.3. Water features in the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 8

Figure 4.4.4. Diversions and wells in the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 9

Figure 4.4.5. Glenwood Ditch mixing with/crossing Cattle Creek. 10

Figure 4.4.6. Comparison of modeled pre-developed flows to developed flows for the period 1975-2005 at the Fourmile Ditch node. 11

Figure 4.4.7. Water-quality sites and wastewater treatment providers in the sub-watershed. 13

Figure 4.4.8. Riparian and instream habitat quality for the Lower Roaring Fork Sub-watershed. 16

Figure 4.4.9. Reach RF 6-3: In the foreground a remnant of native sage shrubland persists, in the middleground pasture replaces sage habitat, and in the background residential/golf development has replaced ranchland. 17

Figure 4.4.10. A cattail marsh receives treated sewage and removes nutrients before flowing into the Roaring Fork River. A great blue heron nesting colony can be seen in the ponderosa pine tree. 18

Figure 4.4.11. In reach RF6-5, upland development-induced bank destabilization and erosion spills affects the river. In the foreground, tamarisk has invaded point bars. 20

Figure 4.4.12. In reach RF6-3, grazing in the riparian zone and on streambanks has damaged vegetation, compacted soil, and degraded water quality. 20

Figure 4.4.13. Native shrublands have been cleared for agriculture. 21

Figure 4.4.14. Residential development and roads in the riparian zone in reach 4M1-5 have altered vegetation and channelized the stream. 22

Figure 4.4.15. Grazing in the riparian zone in 4M1-5 has negatively impacted riparian and instream habitat. 22

Figure 4.4.16. Beaver pond complexes are present in two areas of reach 4M1-5.

Directly below the beaver ponds stream flows are restored and riparian habitat is in good condition..... 23
 Figure 4.4.17. Channel downcutting in 4M1-1 has resulted from domestic livestock grazing..... 25
 Figure 4.4.18. Stream dewatering in 4M1-1 has left little suitable fish habitat. 26
 Figure 4.4.19. Beaver dams in 4M1-2 conserve water and stabilize the channel. 26

Section 4.5 Maroon/Castle Creek Sub-watershed

Figure 4.5.1. Upper photo: Maroon Creek, MA1-1: High quality willow carr habitat and healthy, forested upland habitat provide excellent summer range for wildlife. Lower photo: Castle Creek: High elevation riparian and upland habitats provide wildlife with excellent summer range..... 3
 Figure 4.5.2. MA1-1: Beaver dams were a major stream-structuring feature of the pre-development landscape..... 4
 Figure 4.5.3. Ownership and protection status for the Maroon/Castle Creek Sub-watershed. 5
 Figure 4.5.4. Roads near streams in the Maroon/Castle Creek Sub-watershed. 6
 Figure 4.5.5. Water features in the Maroon/Castle Creek Sub-watershed. 9
 Figure 4.5.6. Diversions and wells in the Maroon/Castle Creek Sub-watershed..... 10
 Figure 4.5.7. Water-quality sites and wastewater treatment providers in the sub-watershed. 14
 Figure 4.5.8. Riparian and instream habitat quality in the Maroon/Castle Creek Sub-watershed..... 16
 Figure 4.5.9. MA1- 4: Upland development has led to excessive hillslope erosion that moves sediment into the stream. 17
 Figure 4.5.10. MA1-2: Left photo: Development in the riparian zone has cleared native vegetation, encouraged the spread of noxious weeds, and has led to destabilized and eroded streambanks. Right photo: MA1-3: Construction of a corral and buildings in the riparian zone has eliminated vegetation, thus reducing habitat value. 18
 Figure 4.5.11. MA1-4 (part of a CNHP-designated Potential Conservation Area): Plant communities are sustainable and wildlife values are high in undeveloped areas. 19
 Figure 4.5.12. In residential developments throughout CS2-4, replacement of native riparian vegetation with non-native grass lawns has resulted in eroding banks and has affected stream flows. 21
 Figure 4.5.13. Historic development at the townsite of Ashcroft, which included removal of riparian vegetation, continues to impact riparian and instream habitat. 22
 Figure 4.5.14. In reach CS2-7, high quality riparian and instream habitat provide excellent wildlife potential..... 23
 Figure 4.5.15. Clearing the riparian corridor’s understory shrubs has reduced bank protection, contributes to channelization, eliminates wildlife habitat, and diminishes stream functions..... 24
 Figure 4.5.16. Along reach CS2-1 a roadcut has destabilized the hillslope causing erosion and excess sediment to move into the stream. 25

Section 4.6 Snowmass/Capitol Creek Sub-watershed

Figure 4.6.1. Moon Run landslide scar (lower left) in the Snowmass Creek drainage..... 2
 Figure 4.6.2. SN1-4: The range of Life Zones from the Alpine to the Montane offers a diversity of ecosystems and plant communities within the Snowmass/Capitol Creek Sub-watershed (Snowmass Creek looking Southwest toward Mt. Daly). 3
 Figure 4.6.3. Ownership and protection status of the Snowmass/Capitol Creek Sub-watershed. 4

Figure 4.6.4. Roads near streams in the Snowmass/Capitol Creek Sub-watershed. 6

Figure 4.6.5. Snowmass Water and Sanitation District boundary and diversions from the Snowmass Creek basin to the Town of Snowmass Village. 7

Figure 4.6.6. Water features in the Snowmass/Capitol Creek Sub-watershed..... 11

Figure 4.6.7. Diversions and wells in the Snowmass/Capitol Creek Sub-watershed..... 12

Figure 4.6.8. East Snowmass Creek, August 1992..... 14

Figure 4.6.9. Snowmass Creek multi-stage CWCB instream flows..... 15

Figure 4.6.10. Water-quality sites and wastewater treatment providers in the sub-watershed. 18

Figure 4.6.11. Riparian and instream habitat quality for the Snowmass/Capitol Creek Sub-watershed..... 20

Figure 4.6.12. Conversion of native upland shrublands to pastures has affected stream flows by altering infiltration rates and by diverting water for irrigation. 21

Figure 4.6.13. Upper photo: Riparian willow carrs have been converted into hay meadows. Lower photo: At the bottom of this reach, a small natural area of willow carr habitat remains. 23

Figure 4.6.14. SN1-2: Beavers, through their dam-building, are integral to functioning instream and riparian ecosystems. 24

Figure 4.6.15. In the photo’s lower left is a constrained channel, resulting from agricultural land use, while the upper right of the photo contains a more natural, braided channel. 25

Figure 4.6.16. Riparian alteration has degraded the condition of the stream channel. Upper photo: grazing in SN1-1. Middle photo: habitat conversion in SN1-6. Lower photo: residential development in SN1-6. 26

Section 4.7 Fryingpan River Sub-watershed

Figure 4.7.1. Headwaters of the Fryingpan River..... 2

Figure 4.7.2. Originating area of Seven Castles Creek debris flows. 3

Figure 4.7.3. Highest and lowest recorded snowpack at the Ivanhoe SNOTEL site relative to average conditions (1986-2007). 4

Figure 4.7.4. Looking north from the Continental Divide at the headwaters of the main stem of Fryingpan River to Fryingpan Lakes..... 5

Figure 4.7.5. Ownership and protection status for the Fryingpan River Sub-watershed. 7

Figure 4.7.6. Roads near streams in the Fryingpan River Sub-watershed. 8

Figure 4.7.7. High sediment input in the Fryingpan River, originally coming from Seven Castles Creek, is seen here joining the Roaring Fork River (August 5, 2007). 10

Figure 4.7.8. Large wood accumulations in the Fryingpan River provided complex instream habitat..... 11

Figure 4.7.9. View of upper and lower ends of Fry-Ark Project diversion structure on Chapman Gulch (August 14, 2006). 15

Figure 4.7.10 Water features in the Fryingpan River Sub-watershed..... 16

Figure 4.7.11. Reduction in the number of small and large floods on the Fryingpan River near Thomasville..... 17

Figure 4.7.12. Diversions and wells in the Fryingpan River Sub-watershed..... 18

Figure 4.7.13. Water-quality sites in the sub-watershed..... 21

Figure 4.7.14. Riparian and instream habitat quality for the Fryingpan River Sub-watershed..... 23

Figure 4.7.15. Decommissioning a non-system road at Sellar Lake, September, 2007..... 24

Figure 4.7.16. Recreational disturbance has damaged and eliminated riparian vegetation. 25

Figure 4.7.17. Fryingpan Road and riprap on the right bank channelizes the stream and inhibits stream processes and functions. 25

Figure 4.7.18. FP1-7: This cottonwood stand is comprised mainly of old individuals with few recruits to replace them when they die..... 26

Figure 4.7.19. FP1-5: Agricultural conversion of native riparian habitat into hay meadows and pastures on the right bank of the river has diminished riparian values. 26

Figure 4.7.20. Upper photo: Conversion of riparian habitat to lawns on reach FP1-3 reduces wildlife potential. Lower Photo: A small area on the left bank of FP1-3 that is managed by the USFS provides good quality wildlife habitat. 27

Figure 4.7.21. FP1-8: A globally-vulnerable plant community occurs on the left bank of the river; the right bank has been severely degraded by the roadcut and recreational disturbance. 28

Figure 4.7.22. FP1-7: Fryingpan Road is adjacent to the lower Fryingpan River in many locations. 29

Figure 4.7.23. The Fryingpan River below the Seven Castles Creek debris flow that occurred on August 7, 2007 30

Section 4.8 Crystal River Sub-watershed

Figure 4.8.1. Thompson Creek, September 9, 2006..... 2

Figure 4.8.2. Highest and lowest recorded snowpack relative to average conditions (1986-2007)..... 3

Figure 4.8.3. CR1-1: Near the town of Marble abundant beaver activity has helped to restore this willow carr wetland and stabilize the stream. 4

Figure 4.8.4. Ownership and protection status for the Crystal River Sub-watershed. 6

Figure 4.8.5. Roads near streams in the Crystal River Sub-watershed. 8

Figure 4.8.6. Part of the North Thompson Creek Mine outflow treatment system, April 17, 2007 10

Figure 4.8.7. Water features in the Crystal River Sub-watershed..... 14

Figure 4.8.8. Diversions and wells in the Crystal River Sub-watershed..... 15

Figure 4.8.9. Water-quality sites and wastewater treatment providers in the sub-watershed. 19

Figure 4.8.10. Riparian and instream habitat quality for the Crystal River Sub-watershed (north section). 23

Figure 4.8.11. Riparian and instream habitat quality for the Crystal River Sub-watershed (south section). 24

Figure 4.8.12. CR2-10: Replacement of riparian vegetation with a golf course has eliminated riparian functions such as pollution filtration, and has increased impermeable surfaces and runoff..... 25

Figure 4.8.13. CR1-2: The roadcut has eliminated streambank stabilizing vegetation, resulting in bank instability, erosion, and stream sedimentation. 26

Figure 4.8.14. Upper photo: Agricultural development has resulted in severe downcutting and the loss of native cottonwood forests. Lower photo: Pasture grasses have not adequately stabilized the streambank, resulting in bank failure. 27

Figure 4.8.15. CR1-3: High quality native vegetation stabilizes streambanks even during flooding flows and provides wildlife with essential foraging and breeding habitat..... 28

Figure 4.8.16. CR2-1: A wide floodplain at Placita is “connected” with high quality upland habitat especially valuable for wildlife. 28

Figure 4.8.17. Confluence of the Crystal and Roaring Fork rivers..... 30

Figure 4.8.18. Upstream of Red Wind Point, the meander channel was cut off by the old railroad line. 31

Figure 4.8.19. Historic Janeway townsite on alluvial fan. 32

Figure 4.8.20. CR2-7: A combination of historic and recent development impacts

have resulted in drier soils that have changed riparian vegetation to upland vegetation. 32
 Figure 4.8.21. Historic townsite of Placita..... 33
 Figure 4.8.22. CR2-10: Conversion of native habitat into pasture has enabled
 weed invasion. Neither pasture grasses nor weeds adequately stabilize streambanks
 and severe bank instability and changes to channel morphology result from this conversion. 34
 Figure 4.8.23. CR1-2: Channel widening and braiding have occurred from
 vegetation removal and channel dredging. 34
 Figure 4.8.24. Above CR1-3: In those stream reaches where native vegetation and natural,
 stable channel characteristics remain, aquatic wildlife potential is high. 34

Section 4.9 Cattle Creek Sub-watershed

Figure 4.9.1. The headwaters of Cattle Creek provide essential and high quality
 year-round wildlife habitat..... 2
 Figure 4.9.2. Ownership and protection status for the Cattle Creek Sub-watershed. 3
 Figure 4.9.3. Roads near streams in the Cattle Creek Sub-watershed. 4
 Figure 4.9.4. Water features in the Cattle Creek Sub-watershed. 7
 Figure 4.9.5. Comparison of modeled pre-developed flows to developed flows on Cattle Creek..... 7
 Figure 4.9.6. Diversions and wells in the Cattle Creek Sub-watershed. 8
 Figure 4.9.7. Water-quality sites and wastewater treatment providers in the sub-watershed. 10
 Figure 4.9.8. Riparian and instream habitat quality for the Cattle Creek Sub-watershed..... 11
 Figure 4.9.9. CT1-2: Upland and riparian habitats have been converted to hay meadows
 and ranch land. Cattle Creek has been channelized. 12
 Figure 4.9.10. Upper photo: In an ungrazed section of reach CT1-1, a dense cover of
 native vegetation stabilizes streambanks. Lower photo: In areas with cattle grazing,
 banks are destabilized and downcutting..... 13
 Figure 4.9.11. CT1-2: Grazing has reduced riparian vegetation and resulted in increased erosion..... 14
 Figure 4.9.12. Removal of a beaver dam has resulted in severe channel downcutting and
 excessive stream sedimentation. 16
 Figure 4.9.13. Cattle Creek has been straightened over much of the drainage, with loss of
 habitat and flow diversity..... 17
 Figure 4.9.14. Rural development has reduced native riparian vegetation, promoted
 the establishment of non-native plants, and degraded stream function..... 17
 Figure 4.9.15. Conversion of agricultural lands into an urban development has severely
 altered both upland and riparian habitat. Cattle Creek is inside the sediment barrier..... 17
 Figure 4.9.16. Confluence of Cattle Creek and the Roaring Fork River..... 18

Acknowledgements

The Ruedi Water and Power Authority, an intergovernmental agency made up of the towns and counties in the Roaring Fork Valley, is sponsoring the Watershed Plan process. All of the members of the Authority support the concept of comprehensive water planning for the Roaring Fork Watershed and have contributed both funding and services towards Phase I of the Plan. Roaring Fork Conservancy is the lead consultant on the State of the Watershed Report.

The following people and organizations contributed to this Report:

Irene Davidson, Matt Grove, Maribeth Gustafson, Andrea Holland-Sears, Mike Kenealy, and Phil Nyland; White River National Forest

Denise Gergen; BLM

David Brown, Dave Mueller, Lori Sprague, and Kirby Wynn; U.S. Geological Survey

Willa Holgate; Natural Resource Conservation Service

Mark Henneberg; U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Linda Bassi, Rick Brown, Carolyn Fritz, Michelle Garrison, Chris Sturm, and Rob Viehl; CWCB

Dan Birch, Mike Eytel, Dave Kanzer, Eric Kuhn, Dave Merritt, Don Meyer; and Chris Treese;

Colorado River Water Conservation District

Shanna Koenig and Lane Wyatt; Northwest Colorado Council of Governments

Bill Blakeslee and Brian Epstein; Colorado Division of Water Resources

David Graf, John Groves, Sherman Hebein, Kay Horton Knudsen, Barry Nehring, Jenn Logan,

Kendall Ross, and Harry Vermillion; Colorado Division of Wildlife

Cindy Houben; Pitkin County

Dave Pesnichak; Garfield County

Mary Lackner; City of Aspen and Pitkin County

Scott Fleming, Leslie Kehmeier, and Ray Merry; Eagle County

Robi Darcy, Larry Thompson; Town of Basalt

Dick Hunt; Basalt Water Conservancy District

Mark O'Meara; Town of Carbondale

Buddy Burns and A'Lissa Gerum; City of Glenwood Springs

Tricia Aragon and Phil Overeynder; City of Aspen

Kit Hamby; Snowmass Water and Sanitation District

Gary Beach; Beach Resource Management

Cheryl Morgan; Brush Creek Metro District

Mike White; Roaring Fork Water and Sanitation District

John Chromy; Redstone Water and Sanitation District

Kevin Lusk; Colorado Springs Utility

Phil Reynolds; Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District

Allen Ringle; Twin Lakes Reservoir and Canal Company

Catie Fleming, Sarah Johnson, Carlyle Kyzer, Rick Lofaro, Tim O'Keefe, Ed Perregaux and

Chad Rudow; Roaring Fork Conservancy

Tom Fitzhugh, Tom Iseman, John Sanderson, and Terri Schulz; The Nature Conservancy

Jacob Bornstein; Colorado Watershed Network

Joshua Ruschhaupt; Sierra Club

Kevin Lusk; Colorado Springs Utility
James Heath; Leonard Rice Engineers, Inc.
Rebecca Farmer and Nicole Rowan; Camp Dresser and McKee, Inc. (CDM)
Bob Harris; Blazing Adventures
Gary Zabel; Colorado Mountain College
Jane Clancy, editor
Stewart Cohen; University of British Columbia
Katie Etienne; Aspen Field Biology Laboratory
Tom Kinney; Hill, Kinney & Wood, LLC
Kerry Sundeen and Carolyn Bradford; Grand River Consulting
Chelsea Brundige, Sue Helm, and Tim McFlynn; Snowmass-Capitol Creek Caucus
Matt Hamilton; Aspen Skiing Company
Marc Alston; Leadership Coaching
Louis Meyer and Chris Romeyn; Schmueser/Gordon/Meyer
Scott Fifer and Graham Gilbert; Resource Engineering
Bill Miller; Miller Ecological Consultants
Michael Menefee; Colorado Natural Heritage Program
Jonathan Lowksy; Wildlife & Wetland Solutions, Ecological Services & Consulting
Lisa Tasker; E.M. Ecological, LLC
Bill Lorah; Wright Water Engineers
Andre Wille; Aspen High School
J.E. DeVilbiss, Leroy Duroux, Sara Fisher, Michael Hassig, Jack Hatfield, Tresi Houpt, Jack Johnson, Reed Lewis, Arn Menconi, Michael Owsley, and Rachel Richards; Ruedi Water and Power Authority Board
Steve Aitkin; Aspen Golf Course
Scott Miller; Maroon Creek Club
Matt Brewer; Roaring Fork Club
Eric Forester; Ironbridge
Tom Vail; Ranch at Roaring Fork
Steve Ehnes; River Valley Ranch
Al Ogren; Snowmass Club
Jason Miller; Aspen Glen Club
Tom Hays; Sunlight Mountain Resort
Paul Conrad and Mark Fox; Aspen Times

Grants & Other Funding Support

Garfield County
Eagle County
Pitkin County
Gunnison County
City of Aspen
City of Glenwood Springs
Town of Basalt

Sponsor: Ruedi Water & Power Authority
Lead Consultant: Roaring Fork Conservancy

Roaring Fork Watershed Plan Phase I
State of the Roaring Fork Watershed Report

Town of Snowmass Village

Town of Carbondale

Colorado River Water Conservation District

National Fish and Wildlife Foundation

Water Supply Reserve Account, Colorado Water Conservation Board

Colorado Watershed Protection Fund

319 Grant, Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment

Environmental Foundation

ESRI

Healthy Rivers Fund

Ruedi Water and Power Authority

Sponsor: Ruedi Water & Power Authority
Lead Consultant: Roaring Fork Conservancy